

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD BARON,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-04-1197 GEB GGH P

vs.

DR. USHA PAI, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER AND

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed August 22, 2005, this court directed plaintiff to file a pretrial statement on or before May 5, 2006. Plaintiff has not responded to the court's order. The court will therefore recommend that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(f); Local Rule 11-110.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Defendants are relieved from filing a pretrial statement.
2. The pretrial conference set for May 26, 2006 is vacated; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(f); Local Rule 11-110.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty

1 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
2 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
3 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any reply to the objections
4 shall be served and filed within ten days after service of the objections. The parties are advised
5 that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
6 Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

7 DATED: 7/12/06

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows

8
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 GGH:035
11 barr1197.fpt
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26